School: CORONADO HIGH SCHOOL - 1870 District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 - 1010 (3 Year³)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	64.3%	(22.5 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	55.0%	(8.3 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	71.7%	(25.1 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		67.2%	(67.2 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stud	dents Tested			Participo	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	98.0%	98.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2124	2124	-	-	2168	2168
Mathematics	-	-	98.2%	98.2%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2128	2128	-	-	2166	2166
Writing	-	-	97.5%	97.5%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2113	2113	-	-	2168	2168
Science	-	-	97.3%	97.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1019	1019	-	-	1047	1047
Colorado ACT	-	-	97.6%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	902	-	-	-	924	-





Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
School: CORONADO HIGH SCHOOL							District: COLORADO SPRINGS	11 - 1010 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	1969	76.49	63	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1972	43.1	75	
Writing	3	4		Meets	1958	58.22	67	'
Science	3	4		Meets	952	57.04	65	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	7000000	Meets	1848	48	10	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1853	41	68	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	1838	47	35	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	44	54	67	No
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets		3.	<u> </u>	110
	-				Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	626	45	24	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	617	46	21	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	100	43	93	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	106	56	26	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	406	51	73	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	627	41	94	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	616	40	90	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	100	31	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	105	40	90	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	792	45	99	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	622	44	65	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	612	44	53	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	93	46	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	105	54	53	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	667	50	88	No
Total	33	60	55%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	13	374/1040/ 736 /388	78.2/81.6/ 82.3 /81.2%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.75	3	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching		349/ 252 /142/74	63.3/ 69.8 /67.6/63.5%	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching		363/ 257 /167/80	73.6/ 77 /73.7/67.5%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets		100/70/48/ 22	39/52.9/72.9/ 86.4 %	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	6/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	<u> </u>	5593	2.2%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4		Meets		902	21	20.1
·	10.75	15	71.7%	Meets				

Scoring Guide Level: H

formance Indicat	rformance Indicators on the School Performance Frame				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	el Framework P
jornance marcae	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or adva	nced was:			nating	1 01110	Turuc	Total i ossibie per zivili zeve	- Tumetrona
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-:				Exceeds	Ι	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile.	•	seline)		Meets	 	3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Approaching		2	⊣ `	15
Achievement	·	` ' '	seine).		- ''		1	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba If the school most the median adequate thident aroust percentiles).	·	h narsantila wası		Does Not Meet	TCAP	CELA		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perceive at or above 60.	entile and its median student growt	n percentile was:		Exceeds	4	2	14	
					_	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 60 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30.				Meets	2	1.5	⊣ ``	
Al! -					Approaching	 		content area	25
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grov	vth percentile and its median studer	nt growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median stu	dent growth percentile was:						
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	<u> </u>	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its me	dian student growth percen	tile was:				subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3	1	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2	1	
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet		1	1	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's araduation rate/d	isaaareaated araduation	rate wa	as.	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	1	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2	0.5	1	
	• below 65%.				Does Not Meet	1	0.25	1	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:				2 des mot meet	1	0.25	16	
stsecondary and	,				Exceeds	l	4	(4 for each sub-	35
•		0 h!:\			_		3	⊣ `	33
kforce Readine	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			Meets			indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-	10 baseline).			Approaching		2	-	
	• above 10%.				Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	colorado ACT composite score w	vas:						
	at or above 22.				Exceeds		4	_	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)				Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)) baseline).			Approaching		2		
	• below 17.				Does Not Meet		1		
oints for each p	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t	ype assi	gnment				
_	ut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the	nis Indicator.		_	_	earned	. of the to	otal Framework points eligib	le.
	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds			r above 60%				Performance
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework		r above 47% - bel	ow 60%			Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points		r above 33% - bel			p	riority Improven
	- at 01 above 57.5% below 02.5%	Approaching		• bolo		,0			

Cut-Points for eac	h performan	ce indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment						
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	nis Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or abov	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance				
Growth; Gaps	• at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement				
	at or abov	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement				
	• below 37.	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround				
School plan type a	assignments									
		Plan description								
Performance Plan	1	The school is required to adopt and implement a Peri	formance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longe	er than a combined total of				
Improvement Plan	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or close	the school. The five				
Priority Improven	Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the									
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Turi	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is r	equired to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnarour	nd Plan.				

3

SPF 2012 - 1010 - 1870

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 1010 - 1870

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	77	78.4	80.4	81.2
Anticipated Year	2009	79.5	83.2	84.5	
of Graduation	2010	76.7	83.9		
	2011	79.9			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	54.7	57.3	60.8	63.5
Anticipated Year	2009	61.2	72.1	75	
of Graduation	2010	71.3	77.1		
	2011	62.6			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	60.3	59.3	63	67.5
Anticipated Year	2009	79.1	84.5	83.7	
of Graduation	2010	76.3	85.9		
	2011	76.5			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	45.5	45.5	72.7	86.4
Anticipated Year	2009	33.3	51.9	73.1	
of Graduation	2010	46.2	61.9		
	2011	32.1			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	77	78.4	80.4	81.2
Anticipated Year	2009	79.5	83.2	84.5	
of Graduation	2010	76.7	83.9		
	2011	79.9			
	Aggregated	78.2	81.6	82.3	81.2

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	54.7	57.3	60.8	63.5
Anticipated Year	2009	61.2	72.1	75	
of Graduation	2010	71.3	77.1		
	2011	62.6			
	Aggregated	63.3	69.8	67.6	63.5

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	60.3	59.3	63	67.5
Anticipated Year	2009	79.1	84.5	83.7	
of Graduation	2010	76.3	85.9		
	2011	76.5			
	Aggregated	73.6	<i>77</i>	73.7	67.5

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	45.5	45.5	72.7	86.4
Anticipated Year	2009	33.3	51.9	73.1	
of Graduation	2010	46.2	61.9		
	2011	32.1			
	Aggregated	39	52.9	72.9	86.4

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 1010 - 1870